

EXERCISE 1: Math Review: Binary Relations (mostly) Due August 22

1. Consider the following potential properties of a binary relation R on a set X

- (i) Reflexivity: for any $x \in X, xRx$
- (ii) Completeness: for any $x, y \in X, xRy$ or yRx (or both)
- (iii) Transitivity: for any $x, y, z \in X$, if xRy, yRz it follows that xRz

Which of the above properties is satisfied by each of the following binary relations?

- a) $X = \mathbb{R}_+^n, xRy$ iff (iff = if and only if) $x \geq y$
- b) $X = \mathbb{R}_+^n, xRy$ iff $\min\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \geq \min\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$
- c) $X = \mathbb{R}_+^2, xRy$ iff either $x_1 > y_1$, or if $x_1 = y_1$ then $x_2 \geq y_2$
- d) $X = \mathbb{R}_+, xRy$ iff $x > y$
- e) $X = \mathbb{R}_+, xRy$ for all $x, y \in X$
- f) $X = \mathbb{R}_+, xRy$ iff $\frac{x}{5} - \lfloor \frac{x}{5} \rfloor \geq \frac{y}{5} - \lfloor \frac{y}{5} \rfloor$, where $\lfloor z \rfloor$ (integer part of z) is the largest integer smaller than z .

2. A binary relation R over some set X is said to be **negatively transitive** if for every $x, y, z \in X$, $\neg(xRy)$ and $\neg(yRz)$ imply $\neg(xRz)$. A binary relation R over X is said to be **asymmetric** if for every $x, y \in X, xRy$ implies $\neg(yRx)$. Show that any R that is both asymmetric and negatively transitive is transitive.

3 A binary relation R on X is called **asymmetric** if for every $x, y \in X, xRy$ implies $\neg(yRx)$ and it is called **irreflexive** if for every $x \in X$ we have $\neg(xRx)$. Show that every preference relation that is both irreflexive and transitive is asymmetric.

4. Show that if a binary relation is transitive then so are its symmetric and asymmetric parts.

5. (Kreps, exercise 2.2) A binary relation R is **symmetric** if xRy implies yRx for any x, y . Consider the following proof that transitivity and symmetry imply reflexivity (i.e. that xRx for any x). For any x take y such that xRy . Clearly, symmetry implies yRx and, therefore transitivity then implies that

xRx . Is this proof correct? Why, or why not? Is the statement itself (that symmetry and transitivity together imply reflexivity) correct?

6. Show that the set \mathbb{R}_+ is connected (i.e., it cannot be partitioned into two clopen sets).